

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>SHANDEL LITTLE</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	
	:	
<b>OFFICER WEATHERHOLTZ and WARDEN JANE QUIGLEY</b>	;	<b>NO. 20-2889</b>

**ORDER**

**NOW**, this 20th day of October, 2020, the plaintiff having failed to file a response to the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings of Defendants, Correctional Officer Weatherholtz and Warden Janine Quigley (Document No. 16) and the plaintiff having failed to demonstrate that he has exhausted his administrative remedies, it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED**<sup>1</sup> to the extent the defendants seek dismissal of the action.<sup>2</sup>

/s/ TIMOTHY J. SAVAGE J.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because the plaintiff has failed to file a response within fourteen days of the filing of the defendants' motion, the motion is granted as uncontested pursuant to Local Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1(c).

<sup>2</sup> The defendants move for judgment on the pleadings or, in the alternative, a dismissal of the claims with prejudice. Although the motion is deemed uncontested for the plaintiff's failure to respond to the motion, we are not entering judgment against the plaintiff. Rather, we shall dismiss his action without prejudice. If he exhausts his administrative remedies, he may file a new action.